

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspin.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/051,693	01/16/2002	Petra Hingsen-Gehrmann	56268US012	1414		
32692	7590 12/18/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			EGAN, BRIAN P			
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1772			

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Same a sea						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	NO			
Advisory Action	10/051,693	HINGSEN-GEHRMANN ET ALL				
_	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian P. Egan	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe						
THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avertinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a tìon ìn			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			tota a sala lada a sala			
b) Meterical for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The app originally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	nplifying the			
<ul><li>(d) they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s)·					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · ————	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None						
Claim(s) objected to: None						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12 and 17.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied.	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u>·</u>				
10. Other:						
		•				

Application/Control Number: 10/051.693

Art Unit: 1772

## ADVISORY ACTION

 Applicant's arguments filed December 2, 2003 in Applicant's after final response have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. For purposes of appeal, the remarks will be entered but fail to place the application in condition for allowance.

The Examiner agrees that the non-silicone based release liner is disposed in a different location in the teachings of WO 99/55791 than claimed by the Applicant. As posited in the previous office action, however, absent demonstration of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have rearranged the placement of the non-silicone-based release liner such that it is positioned between the reflective layer and the layer of lenses, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70. It is noted that WO '791 teaches the non-silicone-based release liner for the purpose of providing a layer that will help provide the user in indicating whether the substrate has been tampered with via interlayer cohesive failure – this function will not be impaired by rearranging the placement of the non-silicone-based release liner layer and the Examiner posits that such rearrangement provides a functionally equivalent result.

Therefore, since the Applicant has provided no evidence of unexpected results with regards to the repositioning of the non-silicone based release liner, the Examiner maintains that it would have been obvious, based on the teachings of WO '791, to have rearranged the positioning of the release liner since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,693

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian P. Egan whose telephone number is 703-305-3144. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Dun P Sar BPE / 12/12/03

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

12/15/03

Page 3